

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/546,573	HOLTEN-ANDERSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Stanislaus Aksman

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 8, 11, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-51, 53, and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Mr. Aksman to propose entry of an examiner's amendment canceling withdrawn claims 6, 8, 11, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-50, 53, and 54, amending claim 51 to correct typographical error, and replacing the abstract. Mr. Alsman authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.